

Israel data shows Pfizer jab stops illness, effect on transmissions unclear

3 February 2021, by Guillaume Lavallee and Ben Simon



A health worker administers a dose of the Pfizer/BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine in a gymnasium in the Israeli city of Petah Tikva

Initial data from Israel's coronavirus vaccination campaign shows the Pfizer/BioNTech jab protects against serious illness, but it is not yet clear whether it slows transmissions or spells progress toward achieving herd immunity, experts say.

The Jewish state is carrying out what is widely described as the world's fastest vaccination campaign per capita, watched closely by experts worldwide.

While many countries are struggling with procurement, Israel has made remarkable progress since launching inoculations in December.

So far, it has given the first of the two recommended doses of Pfizer jabs to roughly 35 percent of its nine-million population.

About 1.8 million have also received the second jab, mostly those over 60.

The health ministry announced that beginning Thursday, all Israelis aged 16 and over would be able to set an appointment to be vaccinated, dropping the age limit from its previous minimum of 35.

In a statement, the ministry stressed Wednesday that Israel's four health service providers should still focus their efforts on inoculating people aged 50 and over, as well as housebound Israelis.

Israel secured its substantial stock by paying above market price and by striking a data-sharing deal with Pfizer.

The agreement stipulates that Israel, which has one of the world's most sophisticated medical data systems, will share real-time information with Pfizer on the vaccine's impact, including on progress towards herd immunity.

Direct vs indirect protection

Ran Balicer, chairman of Israel's national expert panel on Covid-19, told AFP it was crucial to distinguish between the vaccine's two impacts.

The first is "the direct effect", of vaccinated people becoming "protected against symptomatic illness and severe disease", he said.

The second, "indirect effect" refers to the vaccine providing enough immunity to enough people that it forms an "epidemiological barrier" against transmission, he said.

Balicer, also the chief innovation officer at Clalit, Israel's largest health maintenance organisation (HMO), said the data shows the vaccine reduces serious illness, but the transmission question remained open.

Gabi Barbash, a prominent public health expert currently with the Weizmann Institute of Science,



agreed.

"We do know that vaccines are decreasing the incidence of severe disease. That's it," he told AFP

"We do not know whether the vaccines are minimising transmission."

Phase IV

In November, Pfizer announced that Phase III trials had showed its vaccine was 95 percent effective against the virus.



Ultra-Orthodox Jewish protesters clash with Israeli security forces during the enforcement of the coronavirus emergency regulations in Jerusalem's ultra-Orthodox neighbourhood of Mea Sharim

Given the gravity of the pandemic, it received regulatory approval through an accelerated trial process, which has made Israel's more comprehensive data even more valuable.

The country's Maccabi Institute of Innovation and Research, linked to an HMO of the same name, published a paper this week that it described as "the first and largest Phase IV study on the effectiveness of the (Pfizer-BioNTech) vaccine".

Clalit and Maccabi are two of Israel's four HMOs, which collectively provide healthcare to the entire population.

The HMOs are directly responsible for vaccinations and collecting data on their impact.

incidence of severe disease. That's it," he told AFP. Maccabi compared the incidence of Covid-19 in the 12 days after individuals receiving their first Pfizer "We do not know whether the vaccines are shot against incidence 13 to 24 days after the jab.

It found a 51 percent reduction in the incidence of lab confirmed infections in the latter period.

"Two weeks after the first dose you see a significant reduction in infection but it is not complete," Gabriel Chodick, co-author of the Maccabi report, told AFP.

Moreover, Israel is only testing those who present coronavirus symptoms, not a randomised sample of the entire vaccinated population.

It does not therefore have comprehensive data on the number of vaccinated people carrying the virus without symptoms.

Two jabs

Pfizer recommends administering its vaccine in two doses, three weeks apart.

Israel has enough stocks to follow these recommendations, meaning it does not have data on whether the protective effect from just one jab increases after 24 days in the absence of a second jab.

Maccabi has also released preliminary data on the second jab's impact.

It showed that out of 248,000 people studied one week after receiving their second injection, only 66 mild <u>coronavirus</u> infections were registered.

While those figures point towards near-total protection, Maccabi has stressed this data has not been fully analysed.

Despite its vaccination campaign, Israel has continued to register daily caseloads above 5,000, despite a nationwide lockdown in place since December 27.



But compliance with and enforcement of the lockdown has been uneven, notably among ultra-Orthodox Jews who have persistently flouted public safety rules throughout the pandemic.

"If you look at the number of new identified positive corona cases per day, it has not decreased for the last month and half," Barbash said.

"So is it because the lockdown is not a (real) lockdown, or is it because the <u>vaccine</u> is not minimising transmission? No one can tell that."

© 2021 AFP

APA citation: Israel data shows Pfizer jab stops illness, effect on transmissions unclear (2021, February 3) retrieved 25 July 2022 from https://medicalxpress.com/news/2021-02-israel-pfizer-jab-illness-effect.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.