

## Chances of pregnancy no higher with frozen over fresh embryo transfer

5 August 2020



Credit: CC0 Public Domain

Freezing embryos for later transfer as part of assisted reproductive treatment does not result in a higher chance of pregnancy compared with fresh embryo transfer, finds a study published by *The BMJ* today.

The researchers say the findings warrant caution in applying an indiscriminate "freeze-all" <u>strategy</u> for all <u>women</u> undergoing assisted reproductive technology treatment, and that fresh embryo transfer should be used as the gold standard.

Elective freezing of <u>embryos</u> (a "freeze-all" strategy) is becoming more common as <u>pregnancy</u> <u>rates</u> after frozen transfers approach those of fresh transfers.

A freeze-all strategy can also minimise the risk of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (a painful response to hormones used to stimulate <u>egg</u> <u>development</u>), but studies comparing the two strategies are lacking.

To help fill this evidence gap, a team of researchers set out to test whether a freeze-all strategy resulted in a higher pregnancy rate than a

fresh transfer strategy.

Their findings are based on 460 women aged 18-39 years with regular menstrual cycles starting their first, second, or third treatment cycle of in vitro fertilisation (IVF) or <u>intracytoplasmic sperm injection</u> (ICSI) at fertility clinics at eight public hospitals in Denmark, Sweden, and Spain.

Women were randomised to one of two treatment groups.

The freeze-all group (elective freezing of all embryos) received gonadotropin releasing hormone to trigger egg maturity, followed by a single frozenthawed mature egg (blastocyst) transfer.

The fresh transfer group received human chorionic gonadotropin to trigger egg maturity, followed by a single fresh blastocyst transfer.

Women in the fresh transfer group with an excess number of mature follicles (fluid-filled sacs containing an egg) on the day of triggering had elective freezing of all embryos and transfer was delayed as a safety measure.

The results show that the pregnancy rate (defined as a detectable fetal heart beat after eight weeks of gestation) did not differ significantly between the freeze-all and fresh transfer groups (62 out of 223 or 27.8% v 68 out of 230 or 29.6%).

In addition, no significant difference was found in the live birth rate, with 61 out of 223 or 27.4% for the freeze-all group and 66 out of 230 or 28.7% for the fresh transfer group.

No significant differences between groups were observed for pregnancy loss, and none of the women had severe ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome.

The risks of complications did not differ between



the two groups except for a higher average birth weight after frozen blastocyst transfer and an increased risk of prematurity after fresh blastocyst transfer.

Time to pregnancy was longer in the freeze-all group.

The researchers point to some study limitations that may have influenced their results, but they say "in women with regular menstrual cycles, a freeze-all strategy with gonadotropin releasing hormone agonist triggering did not result in higher ongoing pregnancy and live birth rates than a fresh transfer strategy."

What's more, "a safe fresh embryo transfer strategy can be applied to women with regular menstrual cycles with strict cancellation criteria for the fresh transfer if an excess number of mature follicles are present," they add.

"The findings warrant caution in the indiscriminate application of a freeze-all strategy when no apparent risk of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome is present," they conclude.

**More information:** Freeze-all versus fresh blastocyst transfer strategy during in vitro fertilisation in women with regular menstrual cycles: multicentre randomised controlled trial, <u>DOI:</u> <u>10.1136/bmj.m2519</u>

Provided by British Medical Journal

APA citation: Chances of pregnancy no higher with frozen over fresh embryo transfer (2020, August 5) retrieved 8 July 2022 from <u>https://medicalxpress.com/news/2020-08-chances-pregnancy-higher-frozen-fresh.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.