

4-and-a-half myths about sunscreen and why they're wrong

January 6 2020, by Katie Lee and Monika Janda



Credit: RF_._studio from Pexels

Many Australians are [reluctant to use sunscreen](#), even though it's an important element in preventing the skin cancers that affect about [two in three of us](#) at some time in our lives.

The Cancer Council [says](#) myths about sunscreens contribute to this reluctance.

Here are 4½ [sunscreens](#) myths and what the evidence really says. Confused about the ½? Well, it's a myth most of the time, but sometimes it's true.

Myth #1. It's bad for my bones

Many Australians are concerned using sunscreen might lead to [vitamin D deficiency](#). The idea is that sunscreen would block the UV light the skin needs to make vitamin D, critical for bone health.

However, you need [far less UV than you think](#) to make the vitamin D you need: only one-third of the UV that causes a sunburn, and less than you need to tan.

Tests on humans going about their daily business generally show [no vitamin D differences](#) between people who use sunscreen and those who don't.

Myth #2. Its ingredients are toxic

If you google "toxic sunscreen," you get more than [eight million results](#). So people are clearly worried if it's safe.

However, there's little evidence of harm compared to the large benefits of sunscreens, which are [highly regulated in Australia](#).

There [is evidence](#) large amounts of some sunscreen components can act as [hormone disruptors](#). But the amounts needed far outstrip the amount sunscreen users are actually exposed to.

Some people have also been alarmed by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [announcing further testing](#) of the sunscreen ingredients avobenzone, oxybenzone, octocrylene and ecamsule. This was after a [study](#) showed their concentrations could reach over 0.5 nanograms/mL in the blood.

This experiment involved people thickly applying sunscreen to parts of the body not covered by a swimsuit, four times a day for four days in a row. In other words, this is the maximum amount you might apply on a beach holiday, and considerably more than you would wear on a day-to-day basis (unless you work in your budgie smugglers).

However, there's no evidence these concentrations are harmful and the further testing is just a precaution.

The FDA recommends people [continue using sunscreen](#). If you still feel uneasy, you can stick to zinc oxide and titanium dioxide sunscreens, which the FDA says are "[generally recognised as safe and effective](#)".

How about nanoparticles?

That leads us to another common concern: nano-sized zinc oxide or titanium dioxide in sunscreens. [Nanoparticle forms](#) of these UV filters are designed to make them invisible on the skin while still keeping UV rays out.

[Human studies](#) show they either do not penetrate or minimally penetrate the stratum corneum. This is the upper-most layer of the skin, where the cells are already dead and tightly packed together to protect the living cells below. This suggests absorption and movement through the body, hence toxicity, is highly unlikely.

Myth #3. It's pointless. I already have skin cancer in

my family

[Genetics and family history](#) do play a role in many melanomas in Australia. For instance, mutations in genes such as CDKN2A substantially increase a person's [melanoma risk](#).

However, sun exposure increases melanoma risk on top of any existing genetic risk. So whatever your baseline risk, everyone can take steps to lower the additional risks that come with sun exposure.

Myth #4. I'm already middle-aged. It's too late

It's true that sunburns in childhood seem to have a disproportionate effect on the risk of [melanomas](#) and [basal cell carcinomas](#). But [squamous cell carcinomas](#) are more affected by sun exposure over the years.

Ongoing sunscreen use also reduces the number new actinic keratoses, a pre-cancerous skin lesion, and reduces the number of existing keratoses [in Australians over 40 years old](#).

Regular sunscreen use also puts the brakes on [skin ageing](#), helping to reduce skin thinness, easy bruising and poor healing that older skin can be prone to. And of course, getting burnt feels terrible at any age.

Myth #4½. I'm allergic to sunscreen

This one's only half a [myth](#). Many people [say they have](#) an allergic reaction to sunscreen but only about [3%](#) really do.

Often, people are just sunburned. They [thought they were well-protected](#) but simply stayed out in the sun too long, or didn't reapply sunscreen often enough.

Your sunscreen might also be [out of date](#). Sunscreen eventually breaks down and loses its effectiveness, faster if you store it somewhere very hot, like a car.

Alternatively, you may have [polymorphic light eruption](#), a condition where UV light alters a [skin](#) compound, resulting in a rash. This can be itchy or burning, small pink or red bumps, flat, dry red patches, blisters, or even itchy patches with no visible signs.

Fortunately, this condition often occurs only on the first exposure during spring or early summer. Keep out of the sun for a few days and the rash should settle by itself.

If none of those causes fit the bill, you may indeed have [an allergy](#) to some component of your sunscreen ([allergic contact dermatitis](#)), which a dermatologist can confirm.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: 4-and-a-half myths about sunscreen and why they're wrong (2020, January 6) retrieved 21 November 2023 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2020-01-and-a-half-myths-sunscreen-theyre-wrong.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
