

Defibrillation for sudden cardiac death—it all comes down to the programming

24 October 2018



Credit: CC0 Public Domain

Sudden cardiac death is a common cause of death in patients with congenital or acquired heart disease. An implanted cardiac defibrillator (ICD) can effectively put a stop to any underlying cardiac arrhythmia. In a long-term observational study involving 1,500 patients, researchers from MedUni Vienna's Department of Medicine II (Division of Cardiology) have now shown that the programming selected for the implanted defibrillators (ICDs) plays a major role. It was found that the most "defensive" possible procedure is safe and, at the same time, significantly reduces inappropriate therapy.

People with implanted cardiac defibrillators (ICDs) are carrying a form of life insurance in their chests. This is only meant to be activated if their hearts lose their rhythm to such a degree that their lives are in acute danger—this primarily concerns ventricular tachycardia or atrial fibrillation. Depending upon the situation, the ICD emits a painless pulse or immediately gives a (painful) electric shock until normal cardiac rhythm has been restored. "Unfortunately, in some patients,

this therapy overshoots the mark. This results in premature or unnecessary shocks with the associated detriment to quality-of-life," says study author Achim L. Burger from MedUni Vienna's Division of Cardiology.

"In this study, we compared customised ICD programming, standard programming and programming with extended detection times," explains Burger.

"Our department has used the extended detection time (the time before the ICD kicks in) since 2010 and this gives the heart a chance to regain its rhythm spontaneously," adds study author Thomas Pezawas from the Medical University of Vienna's Division of Cardiology.

Compared with standardised programming, programming with extended detection times could reduce the number of unnecessary ICD shocks by 29 percent. Furthermore, the recent study showed that this procedure is equally appropriate for all [patients](#), irrespective of their gender, underlying disease or type of device.

"We can refer to excellent results accompanied by very high levels of patient safety. Previously published annual rates for the number of unnecessary ICD shocks were between 5.1 and 7.9 percent. We are now aiming for 3.7 percent, which will be a top international value," says principal investigator Thomas Pezawas, summarising the results, which have now been published in *Circulation*.

Long-standing centre of excellence for defibrillators

These results will also be important for other [defibrillator](#) centres, since the data available in this field was previously very thin. These new findings should also encourage other centres to adopt a less aggressive programming strategy, say the

MedUni Vienna experts: with the aim of achieving excellent protection from [sudden cardiac death](#) while reducing the number of inappropriate shocks. The recommendation made by the study authors to allow the ICD to "observe" for slightly longer (a matter of seconds) before reacting, could drive a paradigm shift in treatment.

More information: Achim Leo Burger et al. Defensive Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillator Programming Is Safe and Reduces Inappropriate Therapy ? Comparison of 3 Programming Strategies in 1,471 Patients , *Circulation Journal* (2018). [DOI: 10.1253/circj.CJ-18-0611](https://doi.org/10.1253/circj.CJ-18-0611)

Provided by Medical University of Vienna

APA citation: Defibrillation for sudden cardiac death—it all comes down to the programming (2018, October 24) retrieved 2 May 2021 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2018-10-defibrillation-sudden-cardiac-deathit.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.